PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	(. 31 /	oo and Ruic 70)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	EOD EUDTUED A CT	YON			
TU04-0617W01	FOR FURTHER ACT	ION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/JP2004/010714	28. 0%. Z	.005	04.09.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) of	or national classification a	nd IPC			
Int. C17 c0193	10				
Applicant			·		
	erials Co., L	td.			
1. This report is the international p Authority under Article 35 and tr	oreliminary examination ransmitted to the applicant	eport, established by according to Article	this International Preliminary Examining 36.		
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 3 sheets, in	ncluding this cover sh	neet.		
3. This report is also accompanied b	y ANNEXES, comprising	z:			
a. (sent to the applicant a	nd to the International Bu	reau) a total of	3 sheets, as follows:		
sheets of the de	scription, claims and/or di	awings which have b	een amended and are the basis of this report		
and/or sheets con Administrative		thorized by this Auth	ority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the		
[]	•	t which this Authority	y considers contain an amendment that goes		
beyond the disc	closure in the internationa	l application as filed,	as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
Supplemental I b. [] (sent to the International Section 1)		etal of (indicate to	and and assumble of the state o		
	, containing a	sequence listing and	pe and number of electronic carrier(s)) /or tables related thereto, in electronic form		
only, as indicated in th Instructions).	e Supplemental Box Rela	ting to Sequence List	ting (see Section 802 of the Administrative		
4. This report contains indications re	elating to the following ite	ems:			
Box No. I Basis of the					
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this most		
12.01.2005		07. 02	. 2005		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.		Telephone No			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/010714

Box No. I Basis of the report 1. With regard to the language, this report is based on: the international application in the language in which it was filed a translation of the international application into ______, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (Rule 12.4(a)) international preliminary examination (Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a)) 2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report): the international application as originally filed/furnished the description: 1-4, 6, 8

seceived by this Authority on 12, 01, 2005

received by this Authority on 12, 01, 2005 pages pages* pages* _____ received by this Authority on V the claims: as originally filed/furnished as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages* received by this Authority on 12 0/. 2005 pages* pages* received by this Authority on _____ the drawings: as originally filed/furnished pages* received by this Authority on ____ received by this Authority on _____ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing. 3. **V** The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages ______ the claims, Nos. 2-4, 8the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). the description, pages _____ the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/figs _____ the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP 2004 / 010714

Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims Claims	1, 5-7		YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims Claims	1, 5-7		YES
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims _	1, 5-7		YE:
Citations and explanations (Rule 7	0.7)			
			•	
				•
将				
. []				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•	
	•	•		

7.5

PCT

特許性に関する国際予備報告(特許協力条約第二章)

(法第12条、法施行規則第56条) [PCT36条及びPCT規則70]



出願人又は代理人 の書類記号 TU04-0617W01	今後の手続きし	こついては、様	式PCT/	I PEA/41	. 6 を参照す	⁻ ること	•
国際出願番号 PCT/JP2004/010714	国際出願日(日.月.年)	28.07.	2005	優先日(日.月.年)	04.0	9. 20	0 3
国際特許分類 (IPC) Int. Cl7 C01G	3/10	•				· -	
出願人 (氏名又は名称) 株式会社 日鉱マテリアルス	ズ	,					
						,	
1. この報告書は、PCT35条に基づ 法施行規則第57条 (PCT36条)			された国 <mark>際</mark>	予備審査報告で	ごある。		
2. この国際予備審査報告は、この表紙	を含めて全部で	3	ページ	からなる。			
3. この報告には次の附属物件も添付さ a X 附属書類は全部で 3		である。					
区 補正されて、この報告の基 囲及び/又は図面の用紙(Eを含む明紀	四書、請	求の範
第 I 欄 4. 及び補充欄に示 国際予備審査機関が認定し		預時における国	際出願の開	示の範囲を超え	た補正を含	きむもの	とこの
国际 7 帰世 直 (双段 //) 応足 し	· C 左省 人		·				
b [] 電子媒体は全部で <u></u> 配列表に関する補充欄に示す ブルを含む。(実施細則第8		ュータ読み取り	可能な形式		媒体の種類 なは配列表に		
	0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0		•				
4. この国際予備審査報告は、次の内容	を含む。		•				
※ 第 I 欄 国際予備審查報第 II 欄 優先権	報告の基礎						
□ 第Ⅲ欄 新規性、進歩付 第Ⅳ欄 発明の単一性の	性又は産業上の利のなか	引用可能性につい	いての国際予	備審査報告の	不作成		
X 第V欄 PCT35条	(2)に規定する新	規性、進歩性又	は産業上の	利用可能性につ	ついての見角	解、それ	を裏付
けるための文稿			•				
第VII欄 国際出願の不信	備						
第四欄 国際出願に対す	する意見	·		•			
						-	
国際予備審査の請求書を受理した日 12.01.2005		国際予備	審査報告を 07.	作成した日 02.200	5		
名称及びあて先		特許庁審	査官(権限	のある職員)		4 G 9	266
日本国特許庁(IPEA/JP	•		廣野 知子	<u>.</u>	, <u>L</u>		
郵便番号100-8915 東京都千代田区霞が関三丁目4			奥罗 邓寸				-
	-	電話番号	03-3	581-110	0'1 内線	3 4 1	6

第1欄 報告の基礎
1. この国際予備審査報告は、下記に示す場合を除くほか、国際出願の言語を基礎とした。
□ この報告は、 語による翻訳文を基礎とした。 それは、次の目的で提出された翻訳文の言語である。 □ PCT規則12.3及び23.1(b)にいう国際調査
□ PCT規則12.4にいう国際公開
PCT規則55.2又は55.3にいう国際予備審査
2. この報告は下記の出願書類を基礎とした。(法第6条(PCT14条)の規定に基づく命令に応答するために提出された差替え用紙は、この報告において「出願時」とし、この報告に添付していない。)
出願時の国際出願書類
X 明細書
第 1-4, 6, 8 ページ、 出願時に提出されたもの
第 <u>5,7</u> ページ*、 <u>12.01.2005</u> 付けで国際予備審査機関が受理したもの
第 付けで国際予備審査機関が受理したもの
X 請求の範囲
第 項、出願時に提出されたもの
第
第 <u>1,5-7</u> 項*、 <u>12.01.2005</u> 付けで国際予備審査機関が受理したもの
第
X 図面
第 1
第 ページ/図*、 付けで国際予備審査機関が受理したもの
第 ページ/図*、 付けで国際予備審査機関が受理したもの
■ 配列表又は関連するテーブル 配列表に関する補充欄を参照すること。
3. X 補正により、下記の書類が削除された。
り 明細書 第 ページ
X 請求の範囲 第 2-4,8 項
図面 第
□ 配列表 (具体的に記載すること) □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □
4. □ この報告は、補充欄に示したように、この報告に添付されかつ以下に示した補正が出願時における開示の範囲を超えてされたものと認められるので、その補正がされなかったものとして作成した。 (PCT規則70.2(c))
□ 明細書 第 ページ
請求の範囲 第
図面 第
□ 配列表(具体的に記載すること) □ 配列表に関連するテーブル(具体的に記載すること)
配列表に関連するテーブル(具体的に記載すること)
* 4. に該当する場合、その用紙に "superseded" と記入されることがある。

特許性に関する国際予備報告

国際出願番号 PCT/JP2004/010714

第V欄 新規性、進歩性又は産業_ それを裏付ける文献及び記		oいての法第12条(PCT35条(2)) に定め	る見解、
1. 見解			,	
新規性(N)	請求の範囲 請求の範囲	1, 5-7		
進歩性(IS)	請求の範囲 請求の範囲	1, 5-7		有 無
産業上の利用可能性(IA)	請求の範囲 請求の範囲	1, 5-7		

2. 文献及び説明 (PCT規則70.7)

請求の範囲1,5-7に係る発明は、国際調査報告に引用されたいずれの文献にも、「不純物であるAgの含有量が0.01wtppm未満、As、Sb、Biの半金属元素の不純物がそれぞれ<math>0.1wtppm未満、放射性元素のU、Thがそれぞれ<math>0.001wtppm未満、重金属元素のFe、Cr,Niがそれぞれ<math>0.1wtppm未満であり、かつ99.99wt%以上の純度を備えている高純度硫酸銅およびその製法」について記載されておらず、当業者にとって自明なものでもない。

IAPZORESOFTO 01 FEB 2006

Response to the PCT Written Opinion

- 1) The following opinions (1) and (2) were expressed in the Response dated November 2, 2004 issued by the PCT International Searching Authority.
- (1) Claims 7 and 8 do not possess novelty and inventive step. The reason is that Documents 1 to 3 describe the manufacture of high purity copper by processes of removing impurities with activated carbon and realizing recrystallization.
- (2) Although Documents 1 to 3 do not have descriptions of the numerical scope of the present invention, the refining method of Document 1 to 3 is the same as the present invention, and therefore the same results are obtained.
 - 2) The Cited Documents 1 to 3 are as follows.

Document 1: JP09-202619

Document 2: JP61-83625

Document 3: JP57-55399

3) Foremost, the amendments are explained.

Although Example 2 and Table 1 show refining effects to some degree, the refining effects are inferior when compared with Example 1 and Example 3. Thus, Example 2 is only indicated in the Description as a reference, and has been excluded from the present invention. In line with this, amendments were made to move Example 3 up to Example 2. Incidentally, the additional description of "radioactive elements of U and Th are respectively less than 0.001wtppm, heavy metal elements of Fe, Cr and Ni are respectively less than 0.1wtppm" in claim 1 relies on Table 1, and does not constitute new matter or alter the gist. Please refer to the Amendments (amendments under Article 34) filed on the same date for details.

The claims of the present application have been limited based on the foregoing amendments, and the characteristics of the present invention have become clearer. We therefore believe that the present invention possesses inventive step. The reason for this is explained in detail below. Incidentally, for the convenience of comparison with the Cited Documents, the amended independent claim 1 is once again indicated below.

(Claim 1)

High purity copper sulfate wherein the content of Ag impurities is less than 0.01 wtppm, metalloid element impurities of As, Sb and Bi are respectively less than

0.1wtppm, radioactive elements of U and Th are respectively less than 0.001wtppm, heavy metal elements of Fe, Cr and Ni are respectively less than 0.1wtppm, and having a purity of 99.99wt% or higher.

4) Next, the present invention is compared with the Cited Documents 1 to 3.

Foremost, Document 1 (JP09-202619) treats waste materials such as mill ends of synthetic resin copper clad lamination, defective goods and used goods with sulfuric acid and collects the copper content as copper sulfate, and uses activated carbon during the process. Nevertheless, it is unclear as to what types of impurities are contained in the waste materials described in Document 1, and, even if activated carbon is used, the refining effect would be extremely poor.

In the present invention, the copper sulfate used as the raw material, as shown in Table 1, is commercially available copper sulfate having a prescribed level of purity (95 to 99.9%). As a result, it is possible to make "the content of Ag impurities less than 0.01wtppm, metalloid element impurities of As, Sb and Bi respectively less than 0.1wtppm, radioactive elements of U and Th respectively less than 0.001wtppm, and heavy metal elements of Fe, Cr and Ni respectively less than 0.1wtppm". Here, solvent extraction is essential. Further, a significant problem is the content of Ag. It is difficult to separate Ag from Cu, and, since they both have superior electrical conduction property, it is common technical knowledge that the idea of trying to remove Ag does not even exist.

The present invention makes the Ag content to be less than 0.01wtppm, and it would be difficult to reduce the Ag content to this level without a specific goal of reducing such Ag content to less than 0.01wtppm.

In any case, Document 1 does not disclose the use of solvent extraction, and the achievable purity is also unclear. Therefore, it would be erroneous to say that the present invention could have easily been achieved based on Document 1.

5) Next, Document 2 (JP61-83625) uses activated carbon in a part of the process of manufacturing a copper sulfate aqueous solution, and reduces antimony (Sb) and bismuth (Bi) from the copper removed slime. Nevertheless, as shown in Table 3 and Table 4 of Document 2, the extracted Cu is not even at the level of 99%. Since Document 2 is targeting low-level refining, it is not able to achieve the level of refining results obtained by the present invention as described in the comparison with Document 1 above. In addition, Document 2 does not disclose

the use of solvent extraction, or the technical spirit of trying to reduce the impurities to the level claimed in claim 1. Therefore, it would be erroneous to say that the present invention could have easily been achieved based on Document 2.

- 6) Next, Document 3 (JP57-55399) removes the antimony (Sb) contained in the electrolytic solution through the use of activated carbon. As with Document 1 and Document 2 described above, Document 3 does not disclose any technology for removing Ag which is usually contained in large quantities. In addition, since only active carbon treatment is the premise, it would be impossible for Document 3 with no such disclosure to yield the refining effects satisfying the conditions of claim 1.
- 7) As evident from the foregoing explanation, whether individually or in combination, Documents 1 to 3 provide no description relating to the constituent requirements described in claim 1 of the present invention. Further, there is no description that even suggests such constituent requirements. Therefore, it would be impossible to achieve the same effect and result of the invention of claim 1 with Documents 1 to Document 3.

Accordingly, it would be erroneous to say that the invention of claim 1 could have been easily devised based on Documents 1 to 3. Further, all claims other than claim 1 are dependent on claim 1. In other words, the dependent claims also possess inventive step as with claim 1. It is evident that the present invention possesses patentability.